

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Commission

affo

1 2

COMMISSIONERS

DOCKETED

3

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MIKE GLEASON

CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

2006 SEP 20 P 3: 08

SEP 202006

4 MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES

BARRY WONG

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCKETED BY



6

5

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF

DOCKET NO. SW-03575A-04-0767

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

DOCKET NO. W-03576A-04-0767

10

NVENIENCE AND NECESSITY. PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On May 5, 2005, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued Decision No. 67830 which granted extensions of the Certificates of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to Palo Verde Utilities Company ("Palo Verde") and Santa Cruz Water Company ("Santa Cruz") (jointly "Applicants"). Decision No. 67830 also required Santa Cruz to, among other things: "file in Docket Control a copy of the ADEQ [Arizona Department of Environmental Quality] Approval to Construct for the Neely Well Production/Treatment Plant by December 31, 2005;" "make all corrections to ADWR [Arizona Department of Water Resources] registration records to reflect ownership of the two Glennwilde wells and two Rancho Mirage wells" by May 5, 2006 (i.e., 365 days from the date of Decision No. 67830); and file a copy of the Applicants' "City of Maricopa municipal franchises for the current CC&N and the extension area" by May 5, 2006 (i.e., 365 days from the date of Decision No. 67830).

On December 29, 2005, Applicants filed a Motion to Extend Time to File Approvals to Construct ("ATC") for the Neely East and Neely North Wells. The Applicants explained that, although the ATC has been obtained for the Neely West Well, additional analyses must be conducted before the ATCs can be obtained for the East and North Wells. The Applicants requested a sixmonth extension for compliance.

28

27

Applicants' Motion to Extend Time. Staff stated that it had no objection to the Applicants' request for an extension of time.

On January 12, 2006, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Response to

By Procedural Order issued February 1, 2006, the Applicants' Motion was granted and the Applicants were required to file by June 30, 2006 a copy of the ADEQ Approval to Construct for the Neely Well Production/Treatment Plant.

On May 5, 2006, the Applicants filed a Motion for Extension of Time and Notice of Partial Compliance. In the Motion, the Applicants requested: a 60-day extension of the filing requirement for Rancho Mirage Well No. 1; a two-year extension of the filing requirement for Glennwilde Well No. 2 and Rancho Mirage Well No. 2 (*i.e.*, until May 5, 2008); and a 120-day extension of the filing requirement for the City of Maricopa franchise. The Applicants stated that the Rancho Mirage No. 1 Well transfer was expected within 60 days of the filing of the Motion, but that the Glennwilde No. 2 and Rancho Mirage No. 2 wells will not be needed until July 2010, at the earliest, and may not be needed at all depending on the availability of other well sources.

On May 18, 2006, Staff filed a Memorandum stating Staff's agreement to the extension regarding Rancho Mirage Well No. 2 and filing of the City of Maricopa franchises. However, Staff disagreed with the Applicants' extension request for the Glennwilde No. 2 and Rancho Mirage No. 2 wells, stating that a two-year extension was not in the public interest. In the alternative, Staff indicated that it would recommend an extension for the Glennwilde No. 2 and Rancho Mirage No. 2 wells until no later than September 30, 2007.

On June 1, 2006, the Applicants filed a Reply in Support of Motion for Extension of Time. The Applicants agreed to the recommendations set forth in Staff's May 18, 2006 Memorandum, including the granting of "an extension until May 5, 2007 for Glennwilde Well # 2 and Rancho Mirage Well # 2; as recommended by Staff."

On June 13, 2006, Staff filed a Memorandum indicating Staff does not oppose an extension until September 30, 2006 for filing of the City of Maricopa franchises.

¹ It is unclear how the Applicants understood that Staff's recommendation would "grant an extension until May 5, 2007" when Staff's Memorandum recommended denial of the extension request for the Glennwilde No. 2 and Rancho Mirage No. 2 wells or, as an alternative, an extension until September 30, 2007.

3

27

28